Conical Intersection Seam Experimentally Observed in the S–D Bond Dissociation Reaction of Thiophenol-d₁
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ABSTRACT: Surface crossing of bound (S₁, ππ*) and continuum (S₂, πσ*) states has been observed in the ultrafast S–D bond dissociation reaction of thiophenol-d₁. It is manifested by an unanticipated variation of fragment angular distribution as a function of the excitation energy. The anisotropy parameter (β) of +0.25 at the S₁ origin decreases to −0.60 at ~600 cm⁻¹ above the S₁ zero-point level, giving a broad peak in β with a bandwidth of ~200 cm⁻¹. This adiabatic picture is based on the seminal Born–Oppenheimer approximation that assumes that the nuclear motion is separable in time from the much faster electronic motion. The Born–Oppenheimer approximation has been enormously successful in describing chemical reactions on the ground electronic state. For chemical reactions on the electronically excited states, however, coupling of electronic and nuclear motions becomes nontrivial, and significant nonadiabatic transitions where nuclear motions induce surface-hopping (and vice versa) occur in many circumstances. Nonadiabatic transitions are ubiquitous in nature and even essential in a number of important chemical and biological processes. Notably, for polyatomic molecules, the non-crossing rule does not strictly apply and a conical intersection point is generated by intersection of two potential curves on the 2D branching plane. In general, the conical intersection lies on the (3N–8) dimensional seam, where (3N–6) is the number of internal degrees of freedom of N atomic molecular system. As the energy gap between two adiabatic potential surfaces becomes infinitesimally small in the proximity of conical intersections, nonadiabatic transitions are facilitated when the reactive flux or wavepacket reaches the conical intersection region during the chemical reaction process. Most dynamic outputs of nonadiabatic transitions are then dictated by the nature of the conical intersections, and it would be extremely valuable if one could figure out the structure and dynamic role of conical intersections.

In this regard, there have been numerous theoretical and experimental studies on conical intersection dynamics in recent decades. Theoretical descriptions of conical intersections have been very successful in explaining many important nonadiabatic chemical processes. These include photochemical organic reactions, photoisomerization, the radiation-protecting mechanism of DNA bases, or photodissociation reactions. Spectroscopic perturbations observed in photoabsorption or photoionization have given strong experimental evidence of the existence of conical intersections. Nonadiabatic channels have been thoroughly investigated in terms of nonadiabatic transition probability and associated dynamic properties such as energy disposal and reaction rates for many chemical reactions. Recent time-domain studies have revealed wavepacket motion undergoing surface hopping between two diabats for small or complex systems, giving the real-time movies of the nonadiabatic transition processes. Despite many important and successful experimental results to date, however, it is still extremely difficult to unravel the structure and dynamics of a multidimensional conical intersection experimentally. For photodissociation reactions, to our best knowledge, the structural property of the conical intersection had not been spectroscopically characterized before our recent report on the πσ* mediated dissociation of thioanisole (C₉H₅SCH₃). In the photodissociation of thioanisole, the nonadiabatic transition probability shows resonances at the vibronic transitions that allow the initial reactant flux to access the conical intersection region. The structural aspect of the conical intersection is then inferred from the nature of the vibronic states associated with resonances in the nonadiabatic transition probability.
Herein, we report another example of the spectroscopic characterization of the conical intersection on the multidimensional seam, in this case by the observation of the resonance-like features in the product angular distribution from the photodissociation reaction of thiophenol-\(d_1\) (\(\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{SD}\)). Thiophenol adopts a planar geometry at both ground and first electronically excited states.\(^{16, 26}\) The \(S_0\)–\(S_2\) conical intersection seam is located with a short \(S_0\)–\(S_1\) bond distance of \(\sim 1\) \(\text{Å}\) from the \(S_1\)/\(S_2\) conical intersection. Therefore, the quantum nature of two conical intersections are closely related to each other. Our report here on detailed dynamics of thiophenol-\(d_1\) on the close-lying \(S_1\) and \(S_2\) states reveals a new dynamic facet for chemical reactions taking place near the conical intersection.

**Observation of a Resonance-Like Feature in the Product Angular Distribution.** The \(S\)–\(H(D)\) bond cleavage of thiophenol is prompt, as evidenced by the absence of a resonance-enhanced multiphoton ionization signal with the nanosecond laser pulse. Instead, the photofragment excitation (PHOFEX) spectrum, which monitors the \(\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{S}(\cdot)\) fragment yield as a function of the \(\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{S}(\cdot)\) fragment excitation energy, gives the \(S_1\) origin band of which the full-width at half-maximum (fwhm) is \(\sim 190\) \(\text{cm}^{-1}\), which corresponds to an \(S_1\) lifetime of \(\sim 50\) fs (see Figure S2). This result is consistent with the previously reported action spectra that were taken by probing the \(H(D)\) fragment from thiophenol-\(d_1\).\(^{20}\) Ultrafast \(S\)–\(D\) bond rupture should be then reflected in the angular distribution of fragments as the linearly polarized pump laser pulse interacts with the transition dipole moment of which the direction is well-defined with respect to the dissociating \(S\)–\(D\) bond axis. According to our time-dependent density function theory (TDDFT) or complete active space second-order perturbation theory (CASPT2) calculation,\(^{30}\) the \(S_1\)–\(S_0\) transition dipole moment is parallel to the benzene plane, whereas it is tilted about 20° or 53° from the \(S\)–\(D\) bond axis (see Supporting Information), respectively. As the bond breakage occurs much faster than the rotational period, the anisotropy parameter \((\beta)\) from \(I(\theta) = (\sigma/4\pi)[1 + \beta P_2(\cos \theta)]\) is expected to be positive for the angular distribution of \(D\) from the \(S_1\) thiophenol-\(d_1\). Here \(\theta\) is the angle between the direction of the fragment velocity and the electric vector of linearly polarized light, \(\sigma\) is the absorption cross section, and \(P_2(\cos \theta)\) is the second Legendre polynomial. Actually, the anisotropy parameter is estimated to be +0.25 at the \(S_1\) zero-point level, and this is more consistent with the CASPT2 calculation, as shown in Figure 2c. Surprisingly, however, it is found that \(\beta\) decreases sharply with increasing of the \(S_1\) internal energy to give the negative value of \(-0.60\) before going back to nearly zero again, giving a broad peak \((\Delta E \approx 200\) \(\text{cm}^{-1}\)) in \(\beta\) centered at the \(S_1\) internal energy of \(\sim 600\) \(\text{cm}^{-1}\). Interpretation of this rather peculiar behavior of \(\beta\) varying with the internal energy in the same electronic state is not straightforward, as a number of dynamic parameters are associated in the angular distribution of fragments. An abrupt change of the reaction rate, accompanied by a change of \(\beta\), should be excluded as the \(S\)–\(D\) bond cleavage occurs much faster than the rotational period over the entire \(S_1\) excitation energy range. As the excitation energy increases, vibrational modes activated in the vertical transition could be projected in both the angular and speed distributions of the products because the \(S\)–\(D\) bond rupture is much faster than the energy randomization process; however, it is not likely that any particular vibrational mode excitation could give such a negative 

![Figure 1](image-url)
The β value, especially because only in-plane modes are symmetrically allowed in the S₁→S₀ transition of thiophenol.

Probing the Structure and Dynamics of the Conical Intersection. The β-dip is then only explainable by invoking the S₂→S₀ transition dipole moment of which the direction is perpendicular to both the benzene plane and the S–D bond axis. Namely, the β-dip is ascribed to the coherent superposition of the S₁–S₀ and S₃–S₀ transitions. It should be emphasized here that the energetic coincidence of excitation energy and the S₁/S₂ conical intersection seam is a necessary but not sufficient condition for the S₁/S₂ coherent excitation. More importantly, the nuclear configuration near or at the conical intersection seam should be accessed by the optical excitation to observe the mixed character of parallel (S₁→S₀) and perpendicular (S₃→S₀) transition dipole moments. Actually, the S₁→S₀ vertical excitation starts to be active only when the excitation energy is ~2000 cm⁻¹ above the S₁ origin (vide infra). Therefore, the β-dip at 600 cm⁻¹ above the S₁ origin should be due to the excitation of a particular vibronic band through which the nuclear configuration near the S₁/S₂ conical intersection seam is accessed.

We have obtained two different PHOFEX spectra of thiophenol-d₄. In taking velocity-map ion images, the probe laser wavelength for (2 + 1) ionization of the D fragment was continuously scanned to cover the entire Doppler broadened spectral width. Because the polarization axis of the pump laser pulse is set to be perpendicular to the propagation axis of the probe laser pulse, the center wavelength of the Doppler profile is more sensitive to the D fragment produced from the parallel transition with β < 0 (center column of the image), whereas D from the perpendicular transition with β > 0 is more sensitive to the wavelength at blue- or red-edge of the Doppler profile (side column of the image). The PHOFEX spectrum taken by monitoring the center column of the image (PHOFEX-C) then most likely represents absorption cross section of parallel transition, while the PHOFEX spectrum obtained by monitoring the side column of the image (PHOFEX-S) is more likely to be associated with the absorption cross section of perpendicular transition. Indeed, spectral patterns of PHOFEX-C and PHOFEX-S are quite different (Figure 2). As expected, because S₁→S₀ is parallel transition with β > 0, all of Franck–Condon active S₁ vibronic transitions are observed in the PHOFEX-C spectrum. This matches perfectly well with the PHOFEX spectrum previously reported by the Ashfold group, and our Franck–Condon calculation gives the proper mode assignments for most of the observed bands. Apparently, however, the β peak does not match any strongly observed peak in the PHOFEX-C spectrum. Because all observed bands are very broad, however, it should be noted that weak S₁ vibronic bands are hardly identified. The absorption cross section of the vibronic transition associated with the β dip therefore is expected to be quite small in terms of the Franck–Condon overlap.

The situation becomes different in the PHOFEX-S spectrum, where intensities of parallel vibronic transitions decrease whereas those of perpendicular transitions stand out. Interestingly, a distinct vibronic band at ~600 cm⁻¹ above the origin has been clearly identified in the PHOFEX-S spectrum (Figure 2). Actually, this vibronic band in PHOFEX-S matches exactly with the anisotropy parameter (β) dip in terms of both peak position and width. This experimental finding strongly indicates that the β dip at 600 cm⁻¹ is not due to intensity-borrowed statistically mixed S₁–S₀ transition. Namely, if that was the case, no discernible vibronic structure would be observed in the PHOFEX-S spectrum as the S₁–S₀ transition is bound-to-continuum in nature.

The most plausible vibronic band responsible for the β dip could then be the ν₁ mode, which is associated with the in-
plane S-D bending motion. Energetically, ab initio calculation gives a value of 680 cm\(^{-1}\) for \(\nu_\beta\). Considering anharmonicity, which is expected to be large near the conical intersection, the \(\nu_\beta\) assignment for the \(\beta\) dip at 600 cm\(^{-1}\) is quite reasonable energetically. Now, how about the nuclear configuration spanned by the \(\nu_\beta\) mode excitation? According to our calculations, the \(S_1/S_2\) minimum energy conical intersection (MECI) adopts a molecular geometry in which the dissociating S–D bond is elongated whereas the C–S–D in-plane angle is reduced from the equilibrium value, as shown in Table 1.

Interestingly, the classical turning point reached by one quantum of the \(\nu_\beta\) mode excitation represents a molecular geometry with an elongated S–D bond length and reduced C–S–D in-plane angle with respect to the \(S_1\) minimum energy structure. This indicates that the \(\nu_\beta\) mode excitation prepares the reactive flux on the nuclear configuration near the conical intersection seam, which is connected to the MECI point (Figure 1b).

Table 1. Critical Geometrical Parameters and Relative Energies of Thiophenol-d1 at the Ground (\(S_0\)), First Excited State (\(S_1\)), Classical Turning Points of the \(\nu_\beta = 1\) state (\(S_1^{\beta}\)), CIIs along the \(\nu_\beta\) Normal Coordinate (\(C_\beta\)), and \(S_1/S_2\) MECId

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(S_0) min</th>
<th>(S_1) min</th>
<th>(S_1^{\beta}) ab</th>
<th>CI(\beta)</th>
<th>(S_1/S_2) MECI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Relative energy</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>37121</td>
<td>38403(^d)</td>
<td>38178 (38170)</td>
<td>44246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\Delta E), (v)</td>
<td>1.354</td>
<td>1.355</td>
<td>1.38 (1.37)</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\gamma) ((\Delta))</td>
<td>96.6</td>
<td>97.0</td>
<td>85.5 (103.9)</td>
<td>72.5</td>
<td>91.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\Delta E), (v)</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>1.83 (1.76)</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\gamma) ((\Delta))</td>
<td>122.9</td>
<td>122.2</td>
<td>121.6 (122.5)</td>
<td>125.1</td>
<td>123.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\Delta E), (v)</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>1.44 (1.43)</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^d\)Geometrical parameters of the classical turning point are obtained at the vibrational energy of \(\sim 3/2E_v\) above the \(S_1\) minimum energy along the \(\nu_\beta\) normal coordinate, where \(E_v\) corresponds to one quantum of the \(\nu_\beta\) mode. \(^c\)Values in parentheses represent the other end geometry of the classical turning point. \(^c\)\(S_1\)–\(S_0\) vertical excitation energy at the \(S_0\) minimum energy geometry. \(^d\)Ab initio values are obtained at the SA4-CASSCF(12,11)/6-311++G(d,p) level.

Figure 3. Potential energy curves of the \(S_0\) 1\(^1\)\(\pi\pi^*\), 1\(^n\)\(\sigma\pi^*\), and 2\(^n\)\(\pi\pi^*\) in thiophenol-d1 as a function of the (a) \(\nu_{6a}\), (b) \(\nu_\beta\), and (c) \(\nu_{12}\) normal coordinates, calculated at the CASPT2//SA4-CASSCF(12,11)/6-311++G(d,p) level. The conical intersection (CI\(\beta\)) of \(S_1\) (\(\pi\pi^*\)) and \(S_2\) (\(n\sigma\pi^*\)) electronic states is found only for the in-plane SD bending (\(\nu_\beta\)) normal coordinate. The CI\(\beta\) is calculated to be 4045 cm\(^{-1}\) above the \(S_1\) minimum energy. Nuclear displacement vector descriptions of corresponding normal modes are shown.
It should be noted that the simple potential energy curve with two curve crossing points, depicted in Figure 1a, is just a 1D projection of the complicated multidimensional potential energy surfaces on the S−D bond elongation coordinate. Actually, because there are 33 normal modes for thiophenol, the projection of the multidimensional potential energy surfaces on each normal mode coordinate will produce 33 different potential energy curves. Obviously, not all of these curves produce surface crossing points. Here we calculate the potential energy curves along the nuclear displacements associated with $\nu_{6a}$, $\nu_\beta$, and $\nu_{12}$ normal modes. The $\nu_{6a}$ and $\nu_{12}$ are in-plane ring modes of which the vibrational frequencies are slightly lower (380 cm$^{-1}$) or higher (690 cm$^{-1}$), respectively, than that of $\nu_\beta$ at 600 cm$^{-1}$. Interestingly, it is found that the S$_1$/S$_2$ curve crossing occurs only for the potential energy curve projected on the $\nu_\beta$ mode coordinate. Potential energy curves along the $\nu_{6a}$ or $\nu_{12}$ normal mode coordinates do not generate curve crossings between S$_1$ and S$_2$, as shown in Figure 3. The S$_1$/S$_2$ conical intersection point along the $\nu_\beta$ normal-mode coordinate (CIP) theoretically lies on the same conical intersection seam as the MECI point. Namely, both CIP and MECI are on the same branching plane, where the gradient difference vector is parallel to the S−D stretching and the derivative coupling vector is associated with CCSD dihedral torsion. Actually, CIP and MECI are smoothly connected on the same branching plane without a barrier to generate a conical intersection seam, as can be seen in Figure 1b. Therefore, our calculations support the idea that $\nu_\beta$ mode excitation is responsible for the experimentally observed $\beta$-dip, which results from direct access to the conical intersection seam. As previously mentioned, the peak position and bandwidth of the $\beta$-dip are almost identical to those of the PHOFEX-S vibronic band within the error limit, which is also consistent with the above argument. Actually, this vibronic band at 600 cm$^{-1}$ seems to be slightly asymmetric in shape, and this could possibly be due to interference of two coherent transitions, although further studies are definitely needed.31−33 The simple potential energy curve, drawn as a function of the S−D bond elongation coordinate, gives the S$_1$/S$_2$ crossing point at ∼1802 cm$^{-1}$ above the minimum energy level of S$_1$ according to the CASPT2 calculation.34 Considering the zero-point energy (ZPE) difference between S$_1$ and S$_2$, with respect to the S−D stretching mode, the S$_1$/S$_2$ curve crossing is calculated to be ∼850 cm$^{-1}$ above the S$_1$ ZPE level. This somewhat low barrier to the S$_1$/S$_2$ curve crossing explains the prompt S−D bond rupture dynamics quite well, yet, the
energetics involved in the conical intersection calculations need to be improved from the values presented here.

Now, we need to consider symmetries of excited states involved in nonadiabatic transitions. The S–D bond dissociation of the S1 thiophenol-\(d_1\) takes place via tunneling through a reaction barrier, which is generated by the S1/S2 (\(\pi\pi^*\)/\(\pi\sigma^*\)) conical intersection. This means that the optically prepared S1 (\(A'\)) should be coupled to S2 (\(A''\)) in the C\(_2\) point group. Therefore, out-of-plane vibrational modes (\(a''\)) in S2 are expected to be activated as the reactive flux passes through conical intersections along the S–D bond dissociation pathway. For most distinctly observed S1 vibronic bands in the PHOFEX-C spectrum, this nonadiabatic vibronic coupling is believed to occur, as had already been discussed in previous studies;\(^{25-30}\) however, for the 600 cm\(^{-1}\) band, which is distinctly observed in the PHOFEX-S spectrum, the situation is somewhat different. Namely, as previously described, the \(\pi\pi^*/\pi\sigma^*\) conical intersection seam is extended into the Franck–Condon region accessed by the \(\nu_\beta\) mode excitation (Figure 1), and thus both S1 (\(A'\)) and S2 (\(A''\)) are optically excited simultaneously with the parallel (\(\beta > 0\)) or perpendicular (\(\beta < 0\)) transition dipole moment, respectively, rationalizing the experimentally observed \(\beta\)-dip very nicely.

At the S1 origin, the product branching ratio of C\(_6\)H\(_5\)S(\(\Xi\)) to C\(_6\)H\(_5\)S(\(\Lambda\)) is estimated to be \(\sim 0.75 \pm 0.15\) and remains constant in the S1 internal energy range of 0–2000 cm\(^{-1}\), indicating that the nonadiabatic transition probability at the S1/S2 conical intersection is quite high and the ultrafast S–D bond rupture takes place without any significant energy flow into the critical vibrational modes relevant to the change of the S1/S2 coupling strength. This suggests that the S–D bond cleavage of thiophenol-\(d_1\) may take place without strong torque along the C\(_1\)–C\(_2\)–S–D dihedral torsional angle, implying that out-of-plane ring modes may then be actively involved in symmetry-constrained vibronic coupling process. Interestingly, starting at \(\sim 2000\) cm\(^{-1}\) above the S1 origin, the product translational energy distribution shows a sharp shoulder in the high-energy region, indicating that a new dynamic channel starts to open at around this energy, as shown in Figure 4. This feature becomes more pronounced at \(\sim 3000\) cm\(^{-1}\) above the origin, giving a third peak representing the C\(_6\)H\(_5\)S(\(\Xi\)) fragment produced from the new channel, whereas the translational distribution of C\(_6\)H\(_5\)S(\(\Lambda\)) from the new channel overlaps with that giving C\(_6\)H\(_5\)S(\(\Xi\)) from the S1 channel at the center peak. As the energy increases, the Gaussian-shaped product state distribution from the S1 channel diminishes, whereas the product state distribution, which has a higher translational partitioning ratio, becomes dominant. This experimental fact indicates that the new channel is due to the vertical excitation to the high-lying repulsive S2 state as the direct dissociation naturally gives more translational energy to the fragments. This is also consistent with the theoretical S2–S0 vertical excitation energy of 4803 cm\(^{-1}\) above the S0 origin (Table S7).

The negative anisotropy parameter of 0.73 \(\pm 0.05\), measured at \(\sim 4900\) and \(\sim 5700\) cm\(^{-1}\) above the S1 origin, is also consistent with the direction of the S1 transition dipole moment, which is perpendicular to the molecular plane on which the S–D bond axis is placed. The distinctly different dynamics of the two channels allow the deconvolution of the total translational energy distribution of the nascent fragments into individual contributions from the S1–S0 and S2–S0 transitions. Intriguingly, the increase in the S1–S0 vertical-transition component with increasing excitation energy is quite well correlated with the decrease in the anisotropy parameter of the product angular distribution, as can be seen in Figure 4. The \(\beta\) dip at 600 cm\(^{-1}\) therefore is due to simultaneous excitation of S1 and S2 at the conical intersection seam mediated by the particular vibronic mode excitation.

Herein, conical intersection seam generated by the bound S1 (\(\pi\pi^*\)) and continuum S2 (\(\pi\sigma^*\)) states, encountered along an ultrafast S–D bond rupture of thiophenol-\(d_1\), has been experimentally probed. It is manifested by a striking variation of the anisotropy parameter (\(\beta\)) of the fragment angular distribution as a function of the excitation energy, which is attributed to the coherent excitation of the bound S1 and unbound S2 in the conical intersection region. The peak in \(\beta\) is ascribed to in-plane S–D bending mode excitation by which the nuclear configuration in the proximity of the S1/S2 conical intersection seam is accessed, showing a mixed character of parallel (S1–S0) and perpendicular (S2–S0) transition dipole moments at the same time. As a result, the conical intersection, which acts as a dynamic funnel for the nonadiabatic reaction, has been spectroscopically characterized through direct access to the nuclear configuration near the conical intersection seam, unraveling the nature of the complicated nonadiabatic surface crossing structures and dynamics of the multidimensional polyatomic system.


